1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		JUDGE MARY E. ROBERTS
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY	
8	WASTE MANAGEMENT OF	
9	WASHINGTON, INC., a Delaware corporation and subsidiary of WASTE	NO. 15-2-28794-0 SEA
10	MANAGEMENT, INC., a Delaware corporation,	ORDER AWARDING FEES AND COSTS TO
11	,	CITY OF SAMAMMISH, AND JUDGMENT
12	Plaintiff, v.	Clerk's Action Required
13		
14	CITY OF SAMMAMISH, a municipality of Washington, and RABANCO LTD., d/b/a REPUBLIC SERVICES, a	
15	Washington corporation,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	JUDGMENT SUMMARY	
19	Judgment Creditor:	City of Sammamish
20	Judgment Debtor:	Waste Management of Washington, Inc., and Waste Management Inc.
21	Principal Amount of Judgment:	\$22,387.32
22	Attorneys for Judgment Creditors:	Kenyon Disend, PLLC
23	Attorneys for Judgment Debtors:	Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP
24	Interest accrued post-judgment:	12% per annum.
25		

2

45

6

7 8

9

1112

1314

16 17

15

18

19

20

22

21

2324

25

This matter came before the court on the City of Sammamish's Motion for Award of Attorney Fees and Costs. The court considered the following:

- 1. City of Sammamish's Motion for Award of Attorney Fees and Cost;
- Declaration of Michael R. Kenton in Support of City of Sammamish's Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs;
- 3. Opposition to Motion for Award of Attorney Fees and Costs;
- 4. City of Sammamish's Reply on Motion for Award of Attorney Fees and Costs; and
- 5. The records on file with the court herein.

This case came before the court on a fast track by the plaintiff, Wasted Management of Washington, to attempt to enjoin the actions of the City of Sammamish in its bid selection process that did not favor Waste Management. Waste Management of Washington, successfully obtained a temporary restraining order, and the City thereafter successfully resisted the entry of a preliminary injunction and the TRO was dissolved. Under such circumstances the City is entitled to attorney's fees. *See, White v. Wilhelm*, 34 Wn. App. 763, 774 (1983); *Cornell Pump Co. v. City of Bellingham*, 123 Wn. App. 226 (2004). The court previously ruled that the City is entitled to its fees and costs in successfully resisting Waste Management's unreasonable request for injunctive relief where there was no dispute over the fact that Waste Management's bid was nonresponsive to the City's requirements.

1	1. Defendant City of Sammamish's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs is
2	GRANTED.
3	2. Judgement is entered in this matter consistent with the above Judgement Summary.
4	DATED this 31st day of August, 2016
5	
6	See digital signature JUDGE MARY E. ROBERTS
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

King County Superior Court Judicial Electronic Signature Page

Case Number: 15-2-28794-0

Case Title: WASTE MANAGEMENT OF WA INC VS CITY OF

SAMMAMISH

Document Title: ORDER AWARDING FEES TO CITY

Signed by: Mary Roberts

Date: 8/31/2016 11:27:12 AM

Judge/Commissioner: Mary Roberts

This document is signed in accordance with the provisions in GR 30.

Certificate Hash: BEECBBFEFC4AA9C0501D794FF2648966877E42C9

Certificate effective date: 7/29/2013 10:12:51 AM Certificate expiry date: 7/29/2018 10:12:51 AM

Certificate Issued by: C=US, E=kcscefiling@kingcounty.gov, OU=KCDJA,

O=KCDJA, CN="Mary

Roberts:kh7EvnH44hGfRL4tYYhwmw=="